IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. SA-19-CV-00076
	§	
DARRELL ANTONIO WILSON,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES the United States of America and files this Complaint as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. The mission of the United States Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) is to care for United States military veterans and their eligible dependents. As a part of that mission, the VA is charged with all aspects of managing the veterans disability compensation program.
- 2. A veteran that is disabled, as a result of a personal injury or disease while in active service may be eligible, under 38 C.F.R. Part 3, Subpart A, to receive monetary support from the VA in the form of VA disability compensation. In determining eligibility for disability compensation, the VA relies on the representations of the veteran concerning his or her disability. The VA then uses a rating schedule that represents, as far as can practicably be determined, the reductions in earning capacity from specific injuries or combination of injuries resulting from a disability. 38 C.F.R. §3.321 and 38 U.S.C. §1155. Disability compensation

claims must be accompanied by adequate medical evidence or a VA examination will be authorized. 38 C.F.R. §3.326.

3. This action seeks triple damages and civil money penalties under the False Claims Act based upon the false claims and false records or statements made or used by Wilson in order to obtain benefits. Alternatively, this action seeks damages for payment by mistake of fact, unjust enrichment, and conversion.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is the United States of America.
- 5. The Defendant is Darrell Antonio Wilson, a resident of San Antonio, Texas.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 31 U.S.C. §3732(a) and 28 U.S.C. §1345.
- 7. Venue is proper in the Western District of Texas under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and 31 U.S.C. §3732(a) because the conduct which gave rise to this complaint occurred here.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

- 8. Wilson served in the United States Air Force from December 16, 1980 to December 31, 2000.
- 9. After leaving military service, Wilson filed a claim for VA disability benefits. He received his first rating decision in May 2001.
- 10. Following receipt of his first VA disability rating, Wilson filed subsequent claims for increased benefits alleging new and aggravated conditions.

- 11. On June 19, 2009, Wilson received a rating decision based on a claim for increased evaluation that he filed with the VA on or about September 21, 2007. The effective dates for the alleged disabilities claimed by Wilson varied.
- 12. The June 19, 2009 rating decision granted Wilson disability compensation for various alleged disabilities. This decision included a 100 percent rating for loss of use of both feet and special monthly compensation for this same alleged disability. The effective date for this alleged disability was April 19, 2004 which meant Wilson received certain retroactive benefits for this claimed condition.
- 13. Wilson claimed verbally and in writing on multiple occasions that he was physically incapable of ambulating. He claimed this condition began in 2004 in order to receive retroactive benefits. Wilson claimed he could not walk without the use of assistive devices, namely a wheelchair or walker.
- 14. In 2015, an investigation was initiated by the VA Office of the Inspector General based on information received from one of Wilson's medical providers. The provider suspected that Wilson was fabricating or exaggerating the extent of his injuries with regard to his inability to walk.
- 15. The investigation concluded on or about April 14, 2016 and the VA Case Agent drafted a Report of Investigation on or about that date. Based on the investigation, the VA determined that Wilson had fraudulently obtained benefits. Specifically, that Wilson was able to ambulate without the use of assistive devices.

- 16. The compensation Wilson received beginning in 2009, which included retroactive benefits, was higher than the compensation he should have received because Wilson was receiving certain benefits based on his false assertion that he could not ambulate.
- 17. The rate Wilson should have received is reflected in the chart below as the "new rate." The difference annotated in the chart below is the amount of compensation that Wilson was not entitled to receive:

Eff.					
Date	New Rate	Old Rate	Difference	x Months	Total
05/01/04	\$ 2,523.00	\$ 3,069.00	-\$546.00	7	\$ (3,822.00)
12/01/04	\$ 2,589.00	\$ 3,150.00	-\$561.00	1	\$ (561.00)
01/01/05	\$ 2,523.00	\$ 3,084.00	-\$561.00	11	\$ (6,171.00)
12/01/05	\$ 2,626.00	\$ 3,210.00	-\$584.00	12	\$ (7,008.00)
12/01/06	\$ 2,711.00	\$ 3,315.00	-\$604.00	1	\$ (604.00)
01/01/07	\$ 2,610.00	\$ 3,214.00	-\$604.00	8	\$ (4,832.00)
09/01/07	\$ 2,905.00	\$ 6,303.00	-\$3,398.00	3	\$ (10,194.00)
12/01/07	\$ 2,971.00	\$ 6,447.00	-\$3,476.00	12	\$ (41,712.00)
12/01/08	\$ 3,143.00	\$ 6,819.00	-\$3,676.00	36	\$ (132,336.00)
12/01/11	\$ 3,255.00	\$ 7,064.00	-\$3,809.00	12	\$ (45,708.00)
12/01/12	\$ 3,309.00	\$ 7,183.00	-\$3,874.00	12	\$ (46,488.00)
12/01/13	\$ 3,358.64	\$ 7,290.76	-\$3,932.12	12	\$ (47,185.44)
12/01/14	\$ 3,415.74	\$ 7,414.70	-\$3,998.96	24	\$ (95,975.04)
12/01/16	\$ 3,425.99	\$ 7,436.95	-\$4,010.96	11	\$ (44,120.56)
				Award Sub-	
				Total:	\$ (486,717.04)

18. On March 28, 2017, Wilson pled guilty to False Statement Relating to a Health Care Matter in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1035 in case number 16-CR-00782 in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, San Antonio Division. *United States v. Darrell Antonio Wilson*, 16-CR-00782, docket no. 37. The facts supporting the judgement in that

criminal case are the same or substantially similar to the facts alleged in this Complaint. *Id.* at docket no. 1. Wilson was sentenced to a two year probation term for the offense. *Id.* at docket no. 37. He was not ordered to pay restitution. *Id.*

19. As a result of Wilson knowingly making, using, or causing to be made or used, a false record or statement material to an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the Government, or knowingly concealing or knowingly and improperly avoiding or decreasing an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the Government, the VA paid benefits to which there was no entitlement in the amount of more than \$486,717.04.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FALSE CLAIMS ACT, 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(B)

- 20. The United States re-alleges and incorporates the preceding paragraphs.
- 21. By means of the acts described above, the Defendant knowingly made or used, or caused to be made or used, a false record or statement which was submitted to the VA, the authority managing the veterans disability compensation program, and that false record or statement, which was material to the compensation obtained, caused the payment of compensation that would otherwise have been denied.
- 22. The United States suffered actual damages of at least \$486,717.04 and is entitled to treble damages and civil penalties under the False Claims False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729 et seq. as amended.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FALSE CLAIMS ACT, 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(G)

23. The United States re-alleges and incorporates the preceding paragraphs.

- 24. By virtue of the acts described above, Wilson knowingly made, used, or caused to be made or used, a false record or statement material to an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the Government, and knowingly concealed or knowingly and improperly avoided or decreased an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the Government, all in violation of the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(G).
- 25. The United States suffered actual damages of at least \$486,717.04, and is entitled to treble damages and civil penalties under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729 et seq. as amended.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION PAYMENT BY MISTAKE OF FACT

- 25. The United States re-alleges and incorporates the preceding paragraphs.
- 26. As a result of the conduct described above, Wilson obtained federal funds that were not properly payable.
- 27. At the time such payments were made, the United States, through the VA, was not aware of Wilson's wrongful conduct. Had the VA known that Wilson was not disabled to the extent that he represented, it would not have approved payment of his VA benefits. All payments made based on Wilson's assertions of his disability were done by mistake of fact.
- 28. As a consequence, the United States is entitled to recover those funds which were obtained in the amount of \$486,717.04.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION UNJUST ENRICHMENT

29. The United States re-alleges and incorporates the preceding paragraphs.

- 30. As a result of the conduct described above, Wilson obtained federal funds to which he was not entitled.
- 31. In consequence of the acts set forth above, Wilson has been unjustly enriched at the expense of the United States under circumstances directing that in equity and good conscious, the money should be returned to the United States.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION CONVERSION

- 32. The United States re-alleges and incorporates the preceding paragraphs.
- 33. This is a claim for conversion of government property.
- 34. Wilson obtained funds belonging to the United States.
- 35. Wilson retained, dissipated, and failed to return VA benefit funds to the United States.
- 36. Wilson wrongfully exercised dominion and control over VA benefit funds to the exclusion of and inconsistent with the rights of the United States.
 - 37. Wilson acted with malice.
- 38. Wilson continuous and long-term acceptance, taking, and dispensing of funds was wanton and malicious.
- 39. Wilson is liable to the United States for actual and exemplary damages, in amounts to be determined at trial.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that that the Court grant judgment for the United States against Wilson as follows:

1. For civil penalties for each false claim, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a);

- 2. For three times the amount of actual damages proved pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a);
- 3. For damages proved for payments made under mistake of fact, unjust enrichment, and conversion; and
- 4. For reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and expenses incurred by the United States in prosecuting this action;
 - 5. Post-judgment interest at the rates permitted by law; and
 - 6. For such other and further relief as may be appropriate and authorized by law.

JOHN F. BASH United States Attorney

By: Jacquelyn M. Christilles

JACQUELYN M. CHRISTILLES Assistant United States Attorney Texas State Bar No. 24075431 601 N.W. Loop 410, Suite 600 San Antonio, Texas 78216 Tel: (210) 384-7100

Fax: (210) 384-7312 ATTORNEYS FOR UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	§		
	§		
Plaintiff,	§		
	§		
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO.	SA-19-CV-00076
	§		
DARRELL ANTONIO WILSON,	§		
	§		
Defendant.	§		

VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT

I, Jeffrey Breen, Special Agent of the Department of Veterans Affairs Office of Inspector General Criminal Investigations Division, have read the Complaint in this action and state that its factual contents are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

This 9" day of January, 2019.

SPECIAL AGENT JEFFREY BREEN

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	(822 1101100		DEFENDANTS			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In T		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	Citizen of Another State			
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		•			of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 7 625 Drug Poleted Science	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 371 Truth in Lending		of Property 21 USC 881	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923)	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	□ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	 ☐ 720 Labor/Management Relations ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detainee □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General	S □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
□ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	□ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Other: □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)					
	moved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transfe Anothe (specify)	er District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO			e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEY OF RECORD	<u> </u>		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					_	
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE	